

1. (10 pts) Fill in the following table about Chomsky hierarchy.

language type	language class name	recognition machine model	grammar model
type 3		Finite Automata	
type 2	context free language		
type 1			
type 0			

2. [15 pts] Let $A = \{ x \in \{0,1\}^* \mid x \text{ contains an odd number of } 1\text{'s} \}$.

(a) Design a DFA M (using transition diagram representation) to accept A . [5pts]

(b) Find a right linear grammar G equivalent to M . [10pts]

3. [20 pts] Let $B = \{ a^{2n}b^n \mid n \geq 0 \}$.

(a) List the first 4 shortest members of B . [4pts]

(b) Design a CFG G such that $L(G) = B$. [8pts]

(c) Design a PDA M to accept B . The machine can have only one state q and accepts by empty stack. Your answer should include 1. the input alphabet Σ , 2. the stack alphabet Γ and 3. the transition diagram representation of the transition

relation δ .

4. [20 pts] Problem reduction:

(a) What does it mean that a language A is reducible to another language B ?
Please give a formal **mathematical** definition for it. [5pts]

(b) Explain why if A is reducible to B and A is undecidable, then B must be undecidable. [5pts]

(c) Let $H_{010} =_{\text{def}} \{ \langle M \rangle \mid \text{Turing machine } M \text{ halts on the input: '010'.} \}$, and $E_H =_{\text{def}} \{ \langle M \rangle \mid \text{Turing machine } M \text{ halts on empty input } \epsilon. \}$. It is known that E_H is undecidable. Show that H_{010} is also undecidable by reducing E_H to H_{010} . (Hint: Given a Turing machine M , could you construct a new machine M^* s.t. M halts on ϵ iff M^* halts on the input: 010 ?)

5. [15pts] It is known that the PCP problem is reducible to the Non-Disjoint problem of two context-free grammars (NDCFG). Namely, given a Post corresponding system C , we can generate from it two CFGs G_x and G_y such that C has a solution if and only if $L(G_x) \cap L(G_y) \neq \emptyset$. Now suppose the given C is $\{(110,11), (110,10), (0,001), (10,01)\}$.

(a) What are the corresponding context-free grammars G_x and G_y ? (10 pts)

(b) Does C have a solution? Find a solution to C if it has one. (5pts)

6. [9pts] Let G be the context free grammar:

$$S \rightarrow ASB \mid \varepsilon \quad A \rightarrow S \mid aAS \mid \varepsilon \quad B \rightarrow SbS \mid A \mid bb$$

(a) Find a grammar G_1 which has no ε -rule and $L(G_1) = L(G) - \{\varepsilon\}$. [5pts]

(b) Find a grammar G_2 which is equivalent to G_1 and has no unit productions

7. [6pts] Let G be the CFG: $S \rightarrow aAbB \quad A \rightarrow ab \mid BBA \quad B \rightarrow ASB \mid b$
Find a grammar G_3 which is equivalent to G and is in Chomsky normal form.

8. (15 pts) Answer whether the following language classes are closed under a given operation by filling in each cell of the following table with yes (0) or no (x).

Operation Language class	Union	Intersection	complement	Concatenation	Kleene Star (i.e., $*$)
r.e.				0	0
Recursive				0	0
Context-free			X		
Regular					

9. [10 pts] True(0) or false(X) questions. Note: total = halts on all inputs; r.e. set = accepted by a TM; recursive set = accepted by a total TM.

- Every context free language can be accepted by a total Turing machine.
- $\{a^k b^k \mid k \geq 0\}$ is a context-free language.
- If L is recursive, then so is its complement.
- $\{“M” \mid M \text{ is a TM and } |L(M)| > 20\}$ is r.e.
- $\{“M” \mid M \text{ is a TM and } L(M) \neq \emptyset\}$ is recursive.
- The problem of determining whether a given a PDA M_1 and a given FA M_2 accept the same language is decidable.
- If L is r.e. but not recursive, then its complement is r.e.
- 3-tape Turing machines are more powerful than 2-tape Turing machines.
- 2-stack PDAs are more powerful than single stack PDAs.
- $\{“G” \mid G \text{ is a CFG and } L(G) \neq \emptyset\}$ is recursive.

10. [15pts] As discussed in class, the Turing Language(TL) is defined to be the set:

$$\{e(M) \in \{0,1,a,q,;,(\cdot)\}^* \mid M \text{ is a standard Turing Machine(STM)}\}$$

of all encodings $e(M)$ of STMs. For each STM $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \sqcup, \square, \delta, s, t, r)$, let $m = \lceil \log_2 |Q| \rceil$ and $n = \lceil \log_2 (|\Sigma| + |\Gamma| + 2) \rceil$ be the minimum number of bits required to represent all states and all tape symbols together with move left/right actions,

respectively, of M . For each state p , we designate a unique string $e(p) = 'qb_1b_2\dots b_m'$ to represent it, and for each symbol $t \in \Gamma \cup \{L,R\}$, we designate a string $e(t) = 'ac_1c_2\dots c_n'$ to represent it, where all b_i s and c_j s are bits. Let $\alpha_1\alpha_2\dots\alpha_k$ be any sequence of all transitions of the machine where, for $1 \leq j \leq k$, $\alpha_j = (p_j, a_j, q_j, b_j) \in Q \times \Gamma \times Q \times \{\Gamma \cup \{L,R\}\}$. We then define $e(M) = e(\alpha_1)e(\alpha_2)\dots e(\alpha_k)$ where $e(\alpha_j) = (' e(p_j) ', ' e(a_j) ', ' e(q_j) ', ' e(b_j) ')$ be the concatenation of the encoding of all four instruction components separated by the symbol ',' and enclosed by '(' and ')'. Now describe briefly how a 3-tape universal Turing machine U can be designed to simulate the execution of all STM M on any input w . In other words, for the machine U we should have the property: M accepts (rejects, loop) on input w with the result $M(w)$ on the tape iff U accepts (rejects, loop) on input $e(M) e(w)$ with the result $U(e(M) e(w))$ on the first tape of U .